


The comorbidity of mental and physical health problems is well documented, especially when illness becomes chronic (e.g., Dersh, Polatin, & Gatchel, 2002). Research has demonstrated that physical and psychological symptoms increase together, with a correlation of .5 between psychological distress scales and physical symptom checklists (Watson & Pennebaker, 1989). Kroenke, Spitzer, and Williams (1994) have also reported that patients with anxiety or depressive disorders have more physical symptoms and that as the number of physical symptoms increases, so does the likelihood of an anxiety or depressive disorder. This holds true for symptoms with and without a diagnosed etiology. For example, Katon, Sullivan, and Walker (2001) evaluated medical symptoms without clearly identified pathology (such as irritable bowel syndrome, fibromyalgia, headache, etc.) and noted a close association with psychiatric disorders such as panic disorder, major depression, and somatization disorder. In a larger scale study by the World Health Organization, Gureje, Simon, and Von Korff (2001) assessed 5,438 patients from 15 primary care sites and 14 countries. Of the 22% of patients who reported persistent pain for more than six months, there was a fourfold increase in associated anxiety or depressive disorders. These relationships were consistent across cultures. Katon et al. (1990) found that of the top 10% high utilizers of medical care, one half carried a psychiatric diagnosis, such as depressive disorder (40%), generalized anxiety disorder (22%), panic disorder (12%), and alcohol abuse (5%).

Nowhere do psychiatric and medical pathologies interface more prominently than in pain disorders. Therefore, pain is the focus of the present article in my discussion of comorbidity issues. Indeed, in this country, pain is a pervasive medical problem: It accounts for more than 80% of all physician visits. It affects in excess of 50 million Ameri-
cans and costs more than $70 billion annually in health care costs and lost productivity. Each year, an estimated 176,850 patients seek treatment in pain centers in the United States alone (Marketdata Enterprises, 1995). In addition to the pain and emotional suffering these patients experience, chronic pain presents enormous costs to society. Such costs include lost earnings, decreased productivity, and increased health care utilization expenses and disability benefits. One study calculated the annual cost of chronic low back pain to be between $20 billion and $60 billion, when measures such as lost productivity and social security disability insurance benefits were calculated along with treatment costs (Gatchel & Mayer, 2000).

Thus, the prevalence and cost of chronic pain is still a major health care problem in the United States. Recently, several important organizations in the United States have developed new standards for the evaluation of pain. One such organization—the Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations—now requires that physicians consider pain as the “fifth vital sign” (added to the other vital signs of pulse, blood pressure, core temperature, and respiration). As another example of this current interest in pain, Congress has designated 2001–2010 as the Decade of Pain Control and Research.

The Biopsychosocial Perspective

The most promising comorbidity- and pain-related clinical research conducted thus far has embraced a biopsychosocial (BPS) perspective. The emergence of this BPS perspective on mental health and pain disorders has paralleled the evolution of scientific thought in medicine (Gatchel, 1999). During the Renaissance, increased scientific knowledge in the areas of anatomy, biology, and physiology was accompanied by a biomedical reductionism, or a “dualistic” viewpoint, that mind and body function separately and independently. This perspective dominated medicine until quite recently and affected the understanding of the relationships between mental health and pain. The gate control theory of pain introduced by Melzack and Wall (1965), however, began to highlight the potentially significant role that psychosocial factors play in the perception of pain. Pain is now viewed as a complex set of phenomena rather than as a simple, specific, or discrete entity.

This view converged with a BPS approach to medicine initially introduced by Engel (1977) that emerged during the 1970s and 1980s. In the past, organic pain was viewed as different from “psychogenic” pain. The term psychogenic suggested that the pain was due to psychological causes only and that it was not “real” pain because no specific organic basis could be found. This perspective hindered the development of effective psychiatric and pain-management strategies. Today, fortunately, the fourth edition of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM–IV; American Psychiatric Association, 1994) does not list psychogenic pain as a diagnostic entity. The assessment or diagnosis of organically caused pain does not rule out the important role that psychosocial factors can play for any particular patient. The general term pain disorder is used, with subtypes coded according to the relative degree of psychological and/or medical conditions associated with it.

The BPS model views physical disorders such as pain as the result of a dynamic interaction among physiologic, psychological, and social factors, which perpetuates and may worsen the clinical presentation. Each individual experiences pain uniquely. A range of psychological and socioeconomic factors can interact with physical pathology to modulate a patient’s report of symptoms and subsequent disability. It should also be pointed out that for medical conditions in which pain may not be the predominant symptom, this same BPS approach for better understanding and treating comorbid mental–physical interactions has been reviewed (see Gatchel & Oordt, 2003) for a number of prevalent illnesses: diabetes mellitus, hypertension and other cardiovascular disorders, asthma, and gastrointestinal disorders.

Disease Versus Illness

To heal does not necessarily imply to cure. It can simply mean helping to achieve a way of life compatible with their individual aspirations—to restore their freedom to make choices—even in the presence of continuing disease. (Dubos, 1978)

This BPS model focuses on both disease and illness, with illness being viewed as a complex interaction of biological, psychological, and social variables. According to Turk and Monarch (2002), disease is defined as “an objective biological event” involving the disruption of specific body structures or organ systems caused by either anatomical, pathological, or physiological changes. Illness, in contrast, is generally defined as a “subjective experience or self-attri-bution” that a disease is present. Thus, illness refers to how a sick individual and members of his or her family live with, and respond to, symptoms and disability.

This distinction between disease and illness is analogous to the distinction made between pain and nociception. Nociception involves the stimulation of nerves that convey information about tissue damage to the brain. Pain, on the other hand, is a more subjective perception that is the result of the transduction, transmission, and modulation of sensory input. This input may be filtered through a person’s genetic composition, prior learning history, current psychological status, and sociocultural influences. Loeser (1982) originally formulated a model outlining four dimensions associated with the concept of pain (see Figure 1): the aforementioned dimensions of nociception and pain, as well as suffering (the emotional responses that are triggered by nociception or some other aversive event associated
with it, such as fear or depression) and pain behavior (those things that individuals do when they are suffering or in pain, such as avoiding activities or exercise for fear of reinjury).

Waddell (1987) subsequently pointed out that pain cannot be comprehensively assessed without a full understanding of the individual who is exposed to the nociception. He also made a comparison of Loeser’s (1982) model of pain with an early BPS model of illness originally proposed by Engel (1977) (see Figure 1). Such a BPS model focuses primarily on illness. With this perspective, a diversity in pain or illness expression (including its severity, duration, and psychosocial consequences) can be expected. The interrelationships among biological changes, psychological status, and the sociocultural context all need to be considered if the pain patient’s perception and response to illness are to be fully understood. Any model or treatment approach that focuses on only one of these core sets of factors will be incomplete.

**Biopsychosocial Interactions**

Ray (2004) provided an excellent overview of mind–body relationships and how social and behavioral factors can act on the brain to influence health, illness, and even death. In an earlier influential review, Cohen and Rodriguez (1995) pointed out important pathways linking mental and physical illness. In my own clinical research, my colleagues and I take such an interactive perspective, which recognizes the important afferent and efferent feedback between biological and psychological systems, as well as the effects of social mediators. Figure 2 presents a conceptual model of the BPS interactive processes involved in health and illness. We view pain as not purely a perceptual phenomenon in that the injury that has caused the pain also disrupts the body’s homeostatic regulation systems, which, in turn, produce stress and the initiation of complex programs to restore homeostasis.

Melzack (1999) argued that recognizing the role of the stress system in pain processes significantly broadens the conceptualization of chronic pain and our ability to understand it. Chronic pain is a stressor that will “tax” the stress system. Prolonged activation of the stress-regulation systems will ultimately generate breakdowns of muscle, bone, and neural tissue that, in turn, will cause more pain and produce a vicious cycle of pain–stress–reactivity. One important measure of the aforementioned pain–stress cycle is cortisol. As Melzack (1999) pointed out, along with the activation of the sympathetic nervous system, cortisol sets the stage for the stress response.

Indeed, cortisol is the main hormonal product of the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis in humans. Although increased cortisol secretion is considered an adaptive response of the organism when stressed (for purposes of energy mobilization), prolonged secretion can lead to negative effects such as muscle atrophy, impairment of growth and tissue repair, immune system suppression, and so forth. Melzack (1999) suggested that cortisol will serve as a good marker of the degree of stress that should closely parallel the development of chronic pain. McEwen (1998) also highlighted the importance of evaluating cortisol pattern dysregulation under conditions of allostatic load increases due to stress. Underlying mechanisms related to the HPA axis may therefore help to explain individual differences in stress and pain, as well as other medical conditions such as fibromyalgia.

Researchers are also starting to develop an even broader view of BPS mechanisms in pain by using new brain imaging technology. This technology has not yet been systematically applied to the investigation of comorbidity issues in human subjects. One of the most popular and powerful imaging techniques now available is functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI), which uses high-powered and rapidly oscillating magnetic-field gradients in order to detect changes in brain functioning (e.g., Rosenzweig, Breedlove, & Leiman, 2002). It can create images of the brain that detect activities in its different parts, and it has advantages over the spatial and temporal resolution of earlier imaging techniques while not requiring patients to be injected with any substance such as radioactive material. A number of studies have demonstrated the effective use of fMRI in cortical regions and subcortical structures involved in pain processing (e.g., Bingel et al., 2002; Coghill, ...
McHaffie, & Yen, 2003). In addition, brain imaging studies have been used to investigate brain networks involved in placebo and opioid analgesia, as well as the basic functional neuroanatomy of the placebo effect as related to psychopharmacological response in depressed patients. Thus, imaging may provide a powerful new technique to help document the impact of different therapies.

**Biopsychosocial, Interdisciplinary Treatment**

In terms of treatment issues, the most promising work on pain conducted thus far has embraced a BPS, interdisciplinary approach in which the mental health needs of patients require careful evaluation and treatment, along with the concurrent physical pain problem. The treatment effectiveness of this approach to pain has consistently demonstrated the heuristic value of the model. Patients with chronic pain are at increased risk for depression, suicide, and sleep disorders. As pain becomes more chronic, emotional factors play an increasingly dominant role in the maintenance of dysfunction and suffering. Affective disorders, anxiety disorders, and substance abuse disorders are the three major psychiatric concomitants of chronic pain (Dersh et al., 2002). The significance of psychopathology in pain comorbidity is further evidenced by the potentially common pathogenetic mechanisms involved in psychiatric disorders, such as depression and pain (Polatin, 1991). Both nociceptive and affective pathways coincide anatomically. Furthermore, norepinephrine and serotonin, the two neurotransmitters most implicated in the pathophysiology of mood disorders, are also involved in the pain process.

There have been a number of reviews that have documented the clinical effectiveness of such interdisciplinary treatment of chronic pain patients (e.g., Bendix et al., 1996; Gatchel, 1999; Okifuji, 2003). These programs are needed for people with chronic pain who have complex needs and requirements. One variant of such programs—functional restoration (FR)—has been comprehensively described in detail in a number of publications (e.g., Mayer & Gatchel, 1988; Mayer et al., 1987; Mayer & Polatin, 2000). Research has shown that the FR program is associated with substantive improvement in various important
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**Figure 2**

A Conceptual Model of the Biopsychosocial Interactive Processes Involved in Health and Illness

<table>
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<tr>
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</tr>
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<tbody>
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societal outcome measures (e.g., return to work and resolution of outstanding medical issues) in patients who are chronically disabled with spinal disorders in one-year follow-up studies (e.g., Hazard et al., 1989; Mayer et al., 1985), as well as in a two-year follow-up study (Mayer et al., 1987). In the two-year follow-up study by Mayer et al., 87% of the FR group was actively working two years after treatment, as compared with only 41% of a nontreatment comparison group. Moreover, about twice as many of the comparison group patients had both additional spine surgery and unsettled workers' compensation litigation relative to the treatment group. The comparison group continued with an approximately five-times higher rate of patient visits to health professionals and had higher rates of recurrence or reinjury. Thus, the results demonstrate the striking impact that an FR program can have on these important outcome measures in a chronic group consisting primarily of workers' compensation cases (traditionally the most difficult cases to treat successfully).

It should be noted that the original FR program was independently replicated by others in this country, as well as by investigators in Denmark, France, Germany, and Canada. The fact that different clinical treatment teams, functioning in different states and countries, with markedly different economic/social conditions and workers' compensation systems, produced comparable outcome results speaks highly for the robustness of the research findings and the utility, as well as the fidelity, of this FR approach. We (Gatchel & Turk, 1999; Turk, 2002) have reviewed both the therapeutic- and cost-effectiveness of such programs.

Etiologic Issues

The success of interdisciplinary therapies incorporating a cognitive-behavioral orientation in improving the clinical status of patients with chronic pain (Gatchel & Turk, 1996) further attests to the major role that mental health issues play in chronic pain. Important questions, though, still remain. How are mental disorders exacerbated by pain and, conversely, how does a predisposition toward a psychiatric disorder affect the experience of pain and the evolution of chronic disability? What are the underlying neuropathways? A strong research emphasis on mental health throughout the life span is now needed. Chronic physical and mental health problems have become significant for the elderly, and the prevalence of such conditions can be expected to increase with the aging population. Individuals 50 years of age and older are twice as likely to have been diagnosed with chronic pain. Currently, there are approximately 35 million Americans aged 65 years or older, accounting for 12.4% of the total population. By the year 2030, it is projected that about 20% of the population will be 65 years or older (U.S. Census Bureau, 2000). Awareness of these population trends contributes to increased concern about the health care issues of older adults, including mental health and pain problems. There has not yet been an organized focus on using life span development approaches to the study of comorbid psychiatric and pain disorders.

In an earlier work (Gatchel, 1996), I presented a broad conceptual model of the transition from acute to chronic pain. More recent data from my laboratory further support the utility of this model (Gatchel & Dersh, 2002). This model has proven useful in developing pain-management strategies. It proposes three stages that may be involved in the transition of acute low back pain (LBP) into chronic LBP disability and accompanying psychosocial distress. Stage 1 is associated with emotional reactions (e.g., fear, anxiety, etc.) resulting from the perception of pain during the acute phase. Pain or hurt is usually associated with harm, and so there is a natural emotional reaction to the potential for physical harm.

If the pain persists past a reasonable acute period of time (two to four months), this leads to Stage 2, which is associated with a wider array of psychological reactions and problems (e.g., learned helplessness-depression, distress-anger, somatization, etc.) that result from the new more chronic nature of pain. The form these problems take will depend upon the premorbid or preexisting psychosocial characteristics of the individual, as well as current socioeconomic conditions. Thus, for a person with a premorbid problem with depression who is seriously affected economically by loss of a job because of pain, depressive symptomatology may be exacerbated during this stage. A significant personality disorder may similarly begin to severely hamper a person's ability to cope with the stress of chronic pain. This model does not propose that there is one primary preexisting "pain personality" but assumes a general nonspecificity in terms of the relationship among personality, psychosocial problems, and pain. This is in keeping with research that has not found any such consistent personality syndrome. Thus, it is assumed that certain predisposing psychosocial characteristics differ from one patient to the next and may be exacerbated by the stress of attempting to cope with pain.

This conceptual model proposes that as the "layer" of behavioral/psychological problems persists, it progresses to Stage 3, which is viewed as the acceptance or adoption of a "sick role" (in Engle's, 1977, previously presented model), during which patients are excused from their normal responsibilities and social obligations. This may become a potent reinforcer for not becoming "healthy." The medical and psychological "disabilities" or "abnormal illness behaviors" (Pilowsky, 1978) are consolidated during this phase. Research consistently demonstrates the important psychological changes that occur as a pain patient progresses from the acute phase to more chronic phases.
This model also proposes that superimposed on these three stages is what is known as the physical “deconditioning syndrome” (Mayer & Gatchel, 1988). This refers to a significant decrease in physical capacity (strength, flexibility, and endurance) that is due to disuse and the resultant atrophy of the injured area. There is usually a two-way pathway between the physical deconditioning and the three stages mentioned previously.

In summary, the medical condition of pain is quite prevalent, consumes a high proportion of the health care dollars, and will increase with the “graying of America.” It has a high comorbidity with mental health problems. Scientific research is just beginning to broaden the understanding of this diathesis. My ongoing research will continue to investigate the etiology, prevention, and treatment of mental health problems in patients with chronic pain and will focus on furthering an understanding of the development and nature of chronic pain when accompanied by psychiatric disorders, a topic which I discuss next.

**Psychopathology and Chronic Pain Disorders**

In conducting clinical research, I soon found that patients with chronic pain (as well as other chronic medical illnesses) commonly exhibit increased levels of emotional distress and psychopathology that interfere with effective treatment. As a result, my colleagues and I started to conduct a series of studies to evaluate the prevalence of DSM Axis I and Axis II diagnoses of pain patients, derived from a structured interview format (i.e., the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-III-R [SCID]; Spitzer, Williams, Gibbon, & First, 1992). One of our initial studies investigating the nature of the relationship between chronic pain and psychopathology assessed 200 patients with chronic LBP for current and lifetime psychiatric syndromes (Polatin, Kinney, Gatchel, Lillo, & Mayer, 1993). Even when the somewhat controversial category of somatoform pain disorder was excluded, 77% of patients met lifetime diagnostic criteria, and 59% demonstrated current symptoms, for at least one psychiatric diagnosis. The most common were major depression, substance abuse, and anxiety disorders. In addition, 51% met criteria for at least one personality disorder. All of the prevalences were significantly greater than base rates for the general population. These are strikingly high rates of psychopathology in this chronic pain population and are comparable to rates that have been reported in other studies. Subsequently, we found comparably high rates of psychopathology in other chronic pain disorders, such as temporomandibular disorder (TMD; Gatchel, Garofalo, Ellis, & Holt, 1996) and upper extremity disorders such as carpal tunnel syndrome (Mathis, Gatchel, Polatin, Boulas, & Kinney, 1994).

The Polatin et al. (1993) study also found that of those patients with a positive lifetime history of psychiatric disorders, 54% of those with depression, 94% of those with substance abuse, and 95% of those with anxiety disorders had apparently experienced these syndromes before the onset of their LBP. These were the first results to suggest that certain psychiatric syndromes appear to precede chronic LBP (e.g., substance abuse and anxiety disorders), whereas others (specifically, major depression) develop either before or after the onset of patients’ LBP. Depression was demonstrably high in patients with chronic LBP, and patients appeared to be divided equally between those who had depression before the onset of pain and those in whom depression developed after the onset of pain. This was one of the first studies to evaluate an important aspect of the “chicken or egg” question: What comes first, the pain or the psychopathology? We are continuing to evaluate the nature of the relationship between the two variables.

In another study (Gatchel, Polatin, & Mayer, 1995), which led to the development of a prediction model that we used in our future studies, we found evidence of a “psychosocial disability factor” in LBP. In this study, 421 patients presenting with acute LBP complaints of less than six months were systematically evaluated with a comprehensive psychosocial assessment battery. Then, one year after the initial evaluation, all patients were contacted to participate in a structured telephone interview to document return-to-work status. The responses generated a logistic regression model which revealed that the following array of variables correctly classified 90.7% of the cases in terms of work status at the one-year follow-up: self-reported pain and disability scores, scores on Scale 3 of the Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory, workers’ compensation/personal injury insurance status, and gender. Thus, these results revealed the presence of a psychosocial disability factor associated with those injured workers most likely to develop chronic LBP disability problems after one year.

My colleagues and I can now identify those patients with acute LBP who may require early intervention to prevent development of chronic disability. This has formed the basis for subsequent early intervention translational research with high-risk patients (Gatchel et al., 2003). There were also no significant differences between the return-to-work and no-return-to-work groups in terms of physician-rated severity of the initial back injury or in the physical demands of the jobs to which the patients had to return. Such results again highlight the fact that chronic pain disability reflects not only the presence of some physical symptomatology but also that psychosocial characteristics make a significant contribution in determining which injured workers may develop chronic LBP disability. In fact, many have argued that only a small portion of the total disability phenomenon in people complaining of LBP can be attributed to physical impairment. Indeed, most cases of LBP are ill defined and physically unverifiable and are often classified as “soft tissue injuries” that cannot be
visualized or verified on physical examination. Even the correlation between radiographic-documented disc-space narrowing and disc-rupture level including disc herniation is less than 50%. Moreover, an MRI study by Jensen et al. (1994) found significant spinal abnormalities in patients not experiencing LBP.

Other Comorbidity Clinical Research Issues
Along with our research on psychopathology and chronic pain disorders, we are also involved in the development of treatment outcomes research of interdisciplinary approaches to other chronic comorbid mental health and pain disorders. As previously noted, interdisciplinary treatment emphasizes the importance of addressing the complex needs—including the psychosocial needs—of patients with chronic pain. Mayer and I (Mayer & Gatchel, 1988) developed the first truly effective interdisciplinary approach to chronic LBP—the FR (functional restoration) program—which subsequently generated numerous studies documenting its effectiveness. It has also been shown to be effective for cervical spine disorders, upper extremity disorders, as well as heterogeneous pain disorders. In all of our studies, my colleagues and I have been interested in the management of the comorbid mental health problems experienced by patients undergoing treatment and whether it would limit successful rehabilitation. In one such study, we used the SCID to assess the prevalence of current and lifetime DSM diagnoses in a sample of patients with chronic LBP beginning an intensive three-week FR program (Gatchel, Polatin, Mayer, & Garcy, 1994). These patients were then followed over time, with treatment outcome being defined as return-to-work status one year after program completion. Despite high rates of Axis I and Axis II psychiatric disorders in this sample, neither type nor degree of psychopathology was found to be predictive of a patient’s ability to return to work successfully. An equally interesting series of results showed that elevated rates of psychopathology significantly decreased following the FR treatment of patients with chronic LBP (Vittengl, Clark, Owen-Salters, & Gatchel, 1999).

Patient Heterogeneity and Response to Treatment
We are also beginning to evaluate variables that may predict which patients respond best to such programs (see Gatchel & Epker, 1999). As noted by Turk and Okifuji (1998), one must avoid the assumption of “pain–patient homogeneity” in terms of response to treatment. There may be many individual differences or heterogeneity in such responses. Individuals with the same medical diagnosis may vary greatly in their response to their symptoms. Turk and colleagues, for example, have revealed that patients with diseases and syndromes as varied as back pain, headache, and metastatic cancer may display comparable adaptation patterns, whereas patients with the same diagnosis may actually show great variability in their degree of disability (e.g., Turk, Okifuji, Sinclair, & Starz, 1998).

As we (Turk & Gatchel, 1999) have indicated, the traditional approach of “lumping” patients with the same medical diagnosis or set of symptoms (e.g., fibromyalgia, LBP, TMD) together and then treating them all the same way is not appropriate. That is because many of these common diagnoses are relatively gross categories, and there may be unique individual BPS differences in patients who are given these generic diagnoses. Thus, some patients may respond quite positively to a certain treatment, whereas others may actually show no improvement at all. Therefore, it is becoming more important to match a particular intervention to specific patient characteristics. The “pain–patient homogeneity” myth must be debunked, and differences among patients need to be taken into account in order to tailor the appropriate treatment program.

A number of studies have already demonstrated that patients classified into different subgroups on the basis of their behavioral and psychosocial characteristics responded differentially to identical treatments (Turk, 2002). This has been fairly consistently observed across different types of pain syndromes. The differences in the psychosocial profiles displayed by patients have led to attempts to categorize different subgroups of patients and then to evaluate differential response to a treatment. For example, several outcome studies have demonstrated the effective use of the West Haven–Yale Multidimensional Pain Inventory (WHYMPI; Kerns, Turk, & Rudy, 1985) as one way to categorize subgroups of patients (as “adaptive copers,” “dysfunctional,” or “interpersonally distressed”). Patients who are adaptive copers report a high level of social support and a relatively low level of pain and perceived interference with their lives. In addition, they usually report relatively high levels of activity despite their pain and often respond well to pain-management procedures. In contrast, patients who have a dysfunctional profile tend to perceive the severity of their pain to be high and to report that pain interferes with much of their lives. They also report a high degree of psychological distress because of their pain and, as a result, usually report low levels of activity. Patients who have the interpersonally distressed profile are similar to those with the dysfunctional profile, but they also perceive that their significant others are not very understanding about their condition. They therefore think that they have no good social support to help them with their pain behavior problems.

Assessment of such WHYMPI profiles will help to “tailor” the need for treatment strategies to account for the different psychosocial characteristics of patients. For example, patients with an interpersonally distressed profile may need additional clinical attention that addresses interpersonal skills to perform effectively in a group-oriented treatment program. Patients with dysfunctional and interperson-
ally distressed profiles display more indications of acute and chronic personality differences than do patients who are considered to be adaptive copers, and they would therefore require more clinical management (Etscheidt et al., 1995). Such additional attention, however, would not necessarily be essential for patients who fit the adaptive coper profile. These studies support the notion that because patients’ responses to treatment differ as a function of their psychosocial coping profiles, specific treatment modalities are more likely to be better suited to some profiles than to others. An important issue for future clinical research is whether there are other types of BPS profiles that are more or less responsive to different treatment modalities.

**Early Intervention With High-Risk Acute Pain Patients**

As reviewed earlier, our most recent research has focused on the use of the empirically developed high-risk acute patient profile associated with the development of chronic disability problems. Our first one-year prospective outcome study (Gatchel et al., 2003) clearly revealed that high-risk patients with acute LBP who received early intervention displayed significantly greater improvements in psychosocial functioning, pain levels, medication use, health care utilization, and occupational outcomes. Greater cost savings were also found. We are currently conducting a similar study with patients with acute TMD by using an abbreviated version of FR developed specifically for these research programs. Again, it involves an interdisciplinary team approach and is based on the assumption that almost all patients suffering from comorbid mental health and pain disability can regain a productive lifestyle through appropriate medical care/physical reconditioning and psychosocial interventions such as coping skills training. This FR is accomplished through an aggressive, individualized psychosocial and physical reconditioning program. Treatment is initially guided by quantified measurement of function, allowing the reconditioning to proceed safely but providing quantifiable documentation of compliance, effort, and eventual success. Psychosocial issues and return-to-work issues are simultaneously addressed. We have found that such issues can be effectively dealt with using psychosocial approaches (cf. Turk & Gatchel, 2002)

**Summary and Conclusions**

This is an exciting period in mental and physical health research, resulting from a major paradigm shift from an outdated biomedical reductionism approach to a more heuristic and comprehensive BPS model, which emphasizes the unique interactions among biological, psychological, and social factors that need to be taken into account to better understand health and illness. Suls and Rothman (2004) have recently reviewed the evolution of the BPS model and noted how the reciprocal relationship of the biological, psychological, and social processes has stimulated dramatic advances in health psychology over the past two decades:

As a guiding framework, the BPS model has proven remarkably successful as it has enabled health psychologists to be at the forefront of efforts to forge a multilevel, multisystems approach to human functioning. However, considerable, perhaps even daunting challenges remain as models are needed that specify the processes that connect the biological, psychological, and social systems. (p. 119)

Another major reason for the now-heightened acceptance of the BPS model has been the increase in prevalence of chronic medical illnesses in this country, which can be expected to continue to rise. Chronic medical illnesses are most often accompanied by comorbid mental health problems, thus necessitating the use of a BPS approach to assessment and intervention programs for such comorbid chronic illnesses. As I have shown in this article, the BPS approach to one such prevalent comorbid chronic medical problem—pain—has been quite effective, and I hope it will lead to further breakthroughs in the areas of etiology, assessment, treatment, and prevention. Of course, the major paradigm shift to the BPS model is still in its infancy stage, and new developments can be expected in the future. Our major task as behavioral scientists will be to ensure that its continued evolution occurs in a scientifically rigorous manner while also focusing on the “bull’s-eye”—the systematic translation of this new BPS approach to comorbid disorders into the clinical arena, where it can be further validated. With great opportunity comes equally great responsibility for progress in this exciting new era for psychologists:

The best preparation for tomorrow is to do today’s work superbly well.

—Sir William Osler
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